The Kinetochore Microtubule Minus-End Disassembly Associated with Poleward Flux Produces a Force that Can Do Work
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During metaphase and anaphase in newt lung cells, tubulin subunits within the kinetochore microtubule (kMT) lattice flux slowly poleward as kMTs depolymerize at their minus-ends within in the pole. Very little is known about how and where the force that moves the tubulin subunits poleward is generated and what function it serves during mitosis. We found that treatment with the drug taxol (10 μM) caused separated centrosomes in metaphase newt lung cells to move toward one another with an average velocity of 0.89 μm/min, until the interpolar distance was reduced by 22–62%. This taxol-induced spindle shortening occurred as kMTs between the chromosomes and the poles shortened. Photoactivation of fluorescent marks on kMTs revealed that taxol inhibited kinetochore microtubule assembly/disassembly at kinetochores, whereas minus-end MT disassembly continued at a rate typical of poleward flux in untreated metaphase cells. This poleward flux was strong enough to stretch the centromeric chromatin between sister kinetochores as much as it is stretched in control metaphase cells. In anaphase, taxol blocked kMT disassembly/assembly at the kinetochore whereas minus-end disassembly continued at a rate similar to flux in control cells (~0.2 μm/min). These results reveal that the mechanism for kMT poleward flux 1) is not dependent on kMT plus-end dynamics and 2) produces pulling forces capable of generating tension across the centromeres of bioriented chromosomes.

INTRODUCTION

To understand how chromosomes are segregated during mitosis, we must understand the forces that act on them. During prometaphase, opposing sister kinetochores on each chromosome must become attached to opposite spindle poles. Initially, one of the two sister kinetochores on a chromosome captures microtubules (MTs)1 from one spindle pole. When the opposing sister kinetochore captures MTs from the other spindle pole, the now bioriented chromosome congresses to the spindle equator. While at the metaphase plate, sister kinetochores oscillate between constant velocity poleward (P) and away from the pole (AP) motility states. Various forces act on the chromosome while it interacts with the spindle. Kinetochore AP motion (Skibbens et al., 1993) and polar microtubule ejection forces (reviewed in Fuller, 1995; Rieder and Salmon, 1995; Vernos and Karsenti, 1996) can push the chromatids toward the spindle equator. In addition, kinet-

1 Corresponding author: Department of Biology, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC 27599-3280.

1 Abbreviations used: kMTs, kinetochore microtubules; LM, light microscopy; MTs, microtubules.
ochore P motion (Skibbens et al., 1993) and poleward flux of kMTs (Mitchison, 1989; Mitchison and Salmon, 1992) act to pull chromatids poleward.

In this report, we examine one of these forces: the poleward flux of tubulin subunits within the kMT lattice. This phenomenon was first predicted by the work of Forer (1965, 1966) and Margolis and Wilson (1981). It was later defined by Mitchison (1989), who observed a slow movement of subunits poleward when kMTs in metaphase PtK cells were marked by photoactivation of incorporated, caged-fluorescent subunits. KMT poleward flux has been found to occur during both metaphase and anaphase in mitotic newt lung cells (Mitchison and Salmon, 1992) and mammalian tissue cells (Mitchison, 1989; Zhai et al., 1995), in vitro reconstituted Xenopus egg extract metaphase spindles (Sawin and Mitchison, 1991), and crane fly spermatocytes (Wilson et al., 1994). During metaphase, net addition of tubulin subunits at the kMT plus-ends, which are attached to kinetochores in vivo, is balanced by persistent kMT minus-end depolymerization at the spindle pole. As a result, kMT subunits in newts move poleward with a velocity of \(~0.5\ \mu m/\text{min}\) (Mitchison and Salmon, 1992) while the kMTs remain approximately the same length. As anaphase is initiated, the incorporation of tubulin into kMT plus-ends ceases, and kinetochore poleward movement coupled to disassembly at kMT plus-ends predominates (Gorbsky et al., 1987; Mitchison and Salmon, 1992; for insect spermatocytes, see Wilson et al., 1994; Zhai et al., 1995), resulting in kMT shortening and chromosome movement to the pole (i.e., anaphase A). During the initial stages of anaphase in newt lung cells, kMTs continue to depolymerize at their minus-ends, causing the lattice to flux poleward at a rate of \(0.44\ \mu m/\text{min}\); within 4–5 min this rate decreases to 0.18 \(\mu m/\text{min}\) (Mitchison and Salmon, 1992).

During metaphase, kMTs remain a constant average length because flux is at a steady state of net MT plus-end polymerization and persistent minus-end depolymerization. Mitchison and Sawin (1990) predicted that inhibition of either of these parameters would result in useful work. However, it is not known where the force-generating mechanism for flux resides, nor has flux ever been shown to produce work outside of moving tubulin subunits.

One approach to better understanding spindle dynamics is to perturb MT polymerization or depolymerization with drugs. For example, Cassimeris and Salmon (1991) found that the drug nocodazole induces metaphase spindle shortening concurrent with kMT plus-end depolymerization at a rate of \(\sim1.7-2\ \mu m/\text{min}\) (for LLC-PK cells, see also Centonze and Borisy, 1991). As initially reported for PtK cells (DeBrabander et al., 1986), we have found that the microtubule-stabilizing drug taxol (Schiff and Horwitz, 1980) also induces metaphase spindle shortening in newt lung cells, as their kMTs shorten at a rate of \(~0.45\ \mu m/\text{min}\). In taxol-treated anaphase cells, the distance between the chromosomes and the pole also decreased, but at a rate of \(~0.2\ \mu m/\text{min}\). The similarity in rates between taxol-induced kMT shortening and MT poleward flux led us to predict that low levels of taxol block MT assembly/disassembly dynamics at the kinetochore and that kMT shortening after taxol treatment is mediated solely by the kMT minus-end disassembly associated with poleward flux. To test this prediction, we used fluorescence photoactivation methods (Mitchison, 1989; Mitchison and Salmon, 1992). Our results demonstrate that, surprisingly, taxol arrests both growth and shortening of kMTs at the kinetochore attachment site. The disassembly of kMT minus-ends near the centrosome persists and generates pulling forces that result in work.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Cell Culture**

Primary newt (Taricha granulosa) lung cultures were established in Rose chambers, as described previously (Rieder and Hard, 1990; Waters et al., 1993). Coverslips were subsequently mounted in a perfusion chamber and perfused during video light microscopy observation with 10 \(\mu M\) taxol prepared the day of the experiment from a 10 mM stock solution in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) diluted with newt medium (consisting of 0.5 \(\times\) 1L-15 medium supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum, 10 mM HEPES, and antibiotics; Rieder and Hard, 1990). Control cells perfused with newt medium containing DMSO completed mitosis normally.

**Video Light Microscopy (LM)**

For video-enhanced differential interference contrast (VE-DIC) microscopy, cells were observed at 20–22°C with heat-filtered green light from a Nikon Microphot FX (Nikon, Garden City, NY) equipped with differential interference contrast (DIC) \(60 \times\) (numerical aperture [NA] = 1.4) and 40 \(\times\) (NA = 0.85) objectives. Specimen illumination was shuttered between periods of observation and recording with a Uniblitz shutter controlled by an Image-1 (Universal Imaging, West Chester, PA) system. Video images were captured every 1–4 sec with a Hamamatsu C2400 video camera (Hamamatsu, Spring Branch, TX) and routed through Image-1 for processing. At this time the fixed noise and shading in the optical system were eliminated by background subtraction, and input images were averaged (16 frames) and contrast manipulated in real time. After processing, each image was stored on a Panasonic TQ 2028 optical memory disk recorder (ADCO Aerospace, Ft. Lauderdale, FL).

**Immunofluorescence Microscopy**

Cells followed by VE-DIC LM were fixed for tubulin immunolabeling by perfusion with glutaraldehyde and subsequently processed as described by Rieder and Alexander (1990). After the final wash, the chromosomes and nuclei were stained with Hoechst 33342 (0.02 mg/ml) in PBS for 5 sec. The processed coverslip cultures were then mounted on slides in PBS/glycerol, pH 7.8, containing N-propyl gallate. They were then examined with a Nikon Optiphot microscope equipped with a 60 \(\times\) (NA = 1.4) phase-contrast objective. Double-exposure color images were recorded on Fujichrome Velvia film (Fuji Photo Film, Tokyo, Japan), which was commercially processed. Black and white images were recorded on Ilford XP1 film with an ASA setting of 1600.
Cells were fixed and processed for 3F3/2 (Creyt et al., 1988) labeling as described by Gorbsky and Ricketts (1993) and Waters et al. (1996). Cells were rinsed in PHEM (60 mM 1,4-piperazinediethanesulfonic acid [PIPES], 25 mM 4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazine-ethanesulfonic acid [HEPES] at pH 7.0, 10 mM ethylene glycol bis[β-aminoethy]l ether-1,4, N,N',N"-tetra-acetic acid [EGTA], and 2 mM MgCl₂) and then simultaneously extracted and fixed in 0.5% Triton X-100, 0.5% formaldehyde, and 100 mM microcin in PHEM for 5 min. The cells were then fixed further in 1.0% formaldehyde in PHEM for 15 min. After rinsing for 20 min in MBST consisting of 10 mM 3-(N-morpholino) propanesulfonic acid (MOPS) at pH 7.4 and 150 mM NaCl with 0.05% Tween 20, cells were blocked with 20% bovine serum albumin in MBS (10 mM MOPS at pH 7.4 and 150 mM NaCl) and labeled for 45 min with an ascites preparation of 3F3/2 monoclonal antibody (a gift from Dr. G.J. Gorbsky, University of Virginia) diluted 1:1000 in 5% bovine serum albumin in MBS. After being rinsed in MBST for 20 min, the cells were incubated for 30 min with a 1:20 dilution of TRITC-conjugated goat anti-mouse immunoglobulin G (IgG) in 5% bovine serum albumin, rinsed for 20 min in MBST, and mounted in an antifading media (50% PBS and 50% glycerol containing N-propyl gallate). 3F3/2-labeled cells were viewed with a multimode digital fluorescence microscope system (Salmon et al., 1994). A Nikon Microphot FX-A microscope equipped with a 60X (NA = 1.4) phase 0 objective was used. Images were captured with a Hamamatsu C4880-cooled CCD digital camera and routed to Metamorph image-processing software (Universal Imaging). The Metamorph software and a Ludl stepping motor were used to obtain Z-series optical sections of cells in 0.5-μm steps. Images were stored as stacks on optical disk cartridges with a Pinnacle Micro PMO-650 recorder.

Photoactivation and Vital Fluorescence Microscopy

Selected newt lung cells were microinjected, as described by Mitchellson and Salmon (1992), with a mixture of 0.5 mg/ml rhodamine-labeled tubulin and 4.5 mg/ml C2CP tubulin in injection buffer (50 mM K-glutamate, 0.5 mM glutamic acid, and 0.5 mM MgCl₂). Coverslips containing injected cells were incubated in newt culture medium (see above) on glass-slip femto chambers.

Injected cells were photoactivated, and images were obtained with the multimode digital fluorescence microscope system (Salmon et al., 1994) containing a Nikon Microphot FX equipped with phase and fluorescence optics with a Nikon Planapo 60X objective (NA = 1.4). Phase images were collected by using a green light shuttered between exposures. For fluorescence microscopy, the Metamorph software (Universal Imaging) was used to control a Metakite filter wheel. A shuttered HBO-100 W mercury lamp was used for fluorescence excitation and photoactivation. Digital images were collected with a Hamamatsu C4880 cooled-CCD camera and stored on a Pinnacle optical drive (Pinnacle Micro, Irvine, CA) for later analysis by Metamorph image analysis software. Fluorescent images were binned two times within the C4880 camera before transfer to the computer. This enhanced sensitivity 4x so that a two optical density (OD) filter could be used to decrease light intensity and prevent photobleaching.

Phase images were collected every 20 sec for at least 20 min after injection to ensure viability and to allow for incorporation of labeled tubulins into the spindle. At the appropriate stage of spindle formation, the culture medium within the chamber was replaced with new media containing 10 μM taxol. Phase and rhodamine images were then collected every 20 and 60 sec, respectively, until the taxol visibly took effect (3-5 min after taxol addition). A 25 μm x 3 mm slit (Melles Griot, Irvine CA), mounted on a Nikon pinhole slider, was then placed into the field diaphragm port in the epi-illumination pathway. A 1-sec exposure of 360-nm UV light was used to photoactivate a chosen region of the spindle. UV light removes the caging compound from the C2CP-labeled tubulin, thereby marking the spindle MTs by revealing the fluorophore. After photoactivation, phase images were collected every 20 sec and rhodamine and fluorescein images every 60 sec.

Data Analysis

From VE-DIC LM Records. After calibration in the X and Y axes with a Nikon stage micrometer, the particle tracking/analysis program within Image-1 was used to measure distances. X and Y pixel coordinates were recorded into memory and imported directly into Quattro Pro 4.0 (Borland International, Scotts Valley, CA) for calculations and graphing. For these analyses, sequential optical memory disk video images were routed into Image-1 through a time base corrector (For.A Corp, Natick, MA).

From Digital Fluorescence Records. Fluorescent digital images were analyzed with the tracking tools within Metamorph software. The system was calibrated as above, and distance measurements were imported directly into Microsoft Excel 5.0 (Microsoft, Redmond, WA) for calculations and graphing. Images were overlaid and pseudocolored by Adobe Photoshop 3.0 (Adobe Systems, Mountain View, CA) and then printed with a Tektronics Phaser IISDX dye-sublimation printer (Tektronics, Wilsonville, OR). Interkinetochore distances were measured from z-series stacks, as described (Waters et al., 1996).

RESULTS

Taxol Induces Late Prometaphase/Metaphase Spindles to Shorten

As previously reported by DeBrabander and coworkers (1986) for PtK cells, we found that 10 μM taxol induced the centrosomes in late prometaphase/metaphase newt lung cells to move toward the chromosomes. This taxol-induced spindle shortening occurred only in cells that contained bipolarly attached chromosomes (our unpublished observations). We perfused nine late prometaphase/metaphase newt lung cells with 10 μM taxol (Figure 1). After drug treatment (3–5 min), the distance between the centrosomes began to decrease with an average velocity of 0.89 μm/min (range, 0.61–1.3 μm/min; n = 9). This motion continued until the interpolar distance was reduced by 22–62%. Subsequent immunofluorescence analyses of these cells revealed that the spindles consisted of two dense half-spindle/astral MT arrays, with the longest MTs extending ~12 μm (Figure 1).

Analyses of DIC and phase-contrast video records also revealed that the oscillatory motions characteristic exhibited by mono- and bi-oriented chromosomes in prometaphase and metaphase newt lung cells (Skibbens et al., 1993) became arrested within 5 min of taxol addition (our unpublished results; for monopolar spindles, see also Ault et al., 1991). Meanwhile, the normal saltatory motion of particles along the astral microtubules continued (our unpublished results).

During Metaphase, Taxol Inhibits Assembly and Disassembly of KMT Plus-Ends at the Kinetochores but not Disassembly of Minus-Ends at the Poles

We used photoactivation methods to determine whether the taxol-induced shortening of kMTs we observed in metaphase cells occurs by depolymerization of kMTs at their plus-ends in the kinetochore
and/or at their minus-ends in the pole. We microinjected caged fluorescein-labeled (C2CF) tubulin and rhodamine-labeled tubulin into late prometaphase/metaphase newt lung cells. After waiting at least 20 min for the labeled tubulins to incorporate into the spindles (Mitchison and Salmon, 1992), we treated the cells with 10 μM taxol. Once the spindles began to shorten (3–5 min), we locally marked MTs by irradiating (with 360-nm light) a thin bar-shaped region across the spindle to photoactivate the C2CF-labeled tubulin (Figure 2, time 5:44). These marks, which could be clearly discerned on the kMT bundles, were used as reference points to determine where depolymerization of kMTs was taking place during taxol-induced spindle shortening.

These experiments revealed that, as the kMTs shortened in response to taxol, the distance between the kinetochores and the photoactivated marks remained constant (Figures 2, time 5:44–25:44, and 3). Meanwhile, the pole moved toward the fluorescent marks with an average velocity of 0.43 μm/min (n = 4; range, 0.29–0.50 μm/min; Figures 2, time 5:44–25:44, and 3). The kMT bundles remained distinct throughout the shortening process (Figure 2). In control cells, the pole-to-pole distance did not change significantly as the photoactivated marks moved toward the poles at an average rate of 0.58 μm/min (n = 5; range, 0.43–0.85 μm/min; Figure 2). Our control value is similar to the 0.54 μm/min average rate of MT poleward flux previously determined by Mitchison and Salmon (1992) for metaphase newt lung cells.

During Anaphase, Taxol Inhibits Plus-End but not Minus-End Disassembly

During anaphase in newt lung cells, kMTs shorten because of both rapid disassembly at their plus-ends within the kinetochore and slow disassembly at their minus-ends within the pole (Mitchison and Salmon, 1992; Zhai et al., 1995). This was evident in our control cells; kinetochores moved toward and “consumed” the photoactivated mark as the mark moved slowly poleward (Figure 4; see also Gorbsky et al., 1987; Mitchison and Salmon, 1992). Mitchison and Salmon (1992) found that the disassembly of kMT minus-ends slows during anaphase in newt cells; flux occurs at 0.44 μm/min in the first few minutes of anaphase and 0.18 μm/min ~4–5 min after anaphase onset. We reasoned that if the kMT minus-end disassembly that occurs in taxol-treated metaphase cells is due to pole-
Figure 2. Photoactivation marking of a control (left panel) and a taxol-treated (right panel) metaphase cell. Images on the left were taken from one control cell over time; images on the right were taken from one taxol-treated cell over time. Time is shown in min:sec elapsed (after 10 μM taxol addition for taxol-treated cell). 0:03, 10:22, 5:47, and 25:47 are phase contrast images showing congressed chromosomes. 0:00 and 5:44 are overlays of rhodamine and fluorescein tubulin images within 5 sec of photoactivation. In control cells, net addition of MT subunits at the kinetochore and loss of subunits at the pole cause the photoactivated mark to move poleward (0:00–10:19). As taxol induces slow K-fiber shortening, marks at the kinetochore remain stationary relative to the chromosomes while the pole moves toward the mark and the chromosomes (5:44–25:44). This demonstrates that taxol inhibits MT assembly/disassembly at the kinetochore, whereas MT loss at the pole continues. Persistent spindle microtubule turnover and enhanced assembly throughout the shortening process result in a gradual change in the spindle color from red to orange in these pseudocolored overlays. Note that background fluorescence in micro-injected cells is high, compared with fixed and extracted cells (Figure 1f), because of unincorporated labeled tubulin. These fluorescence images were contrast enhanced so that kinetochore fibers are clearly visible, and this obscures the visualization of the astral microtubules that are seen in Figure 1f.
ward flux, then it should slow down in anaphase, as is seen in control anaphase cells.

Because it takes 3–5 min for taxol to take effect and metaphase newt lung cells treated with taxol only rarely progress to anaphase (our unpublished observation), it was not possible to reliably determine the effect of taxol on the first 5 min of anaphase A when kinetochore motility and flux is maximum. However, by perfusing cells immediately after chromatid separation, we could evaluate the effect of taxol ~5 min into anaphase when kMT disassembly at the pole is occurring at ~0.18 μm/min in control newt cells (Mitchison and Salmon, 1992). We photoactivated five taxol-treated anaphase cells (Figures 4 and 5). In one cell, no chromosome-to-pole shortening occurred. It is likely that flux had stopped in this cell (as is often seen in controls; Mitchison and Salmon, 1992) before taxol had taken effect. In four cells, the distance between the chromosomes and the pole decreased at an average rate of 0.2 μm/min (range, 0.14–0.27 μm/min). In these cells, the distance between the photoactivated mark and the pole decreased at the same average rate, 0.2 μm/min (range, 0.14–0.24 μm/min; Figures 4, time 6:09–12:29, and 5), a rate similar to that of flux in control cells during anaphase (0.18 μm/min; Mitchison and Salmon, 1992).

**Kinetochore Microtubule Poleward Flux Generates Tension across the Centromeres of Bioriented Chromosomes**

To determine whether the forces for taxol-induced spindle shortening act on the kMTs and stretch the centromeres, we measured the distance between kinetochores in taxol-treated cells. We filmed four late prometaphase/metaphase cells treated with 10 μM taxol and fixed them while their spindles were actively shortening (5–8 min after taxol addition). We then processed the cells for immunofluorescence labeling with the monoclonal antibody 3F3/2 (Figure 6A). In newt lung cells, 3F3/2 labels centromeres, the surface of the chromosomes, and kinetochores uniformly throughout mitosis (Figure 6A; Waters et al., 1996). Z-axis optical serial sections (0.5-μm steps) through labeled cells were collected as 12-bit images by a cooled CCD camera and transferred to Metamorph software. 3F3/2 chromosome labeling allowed identification of individual chromosomes within the three-dimensional stacks and, therefore, sister kinetochores. On the basis of the measurements made from 38 sister kinetochore pairs in four spindles shortening in response to taxol, the average interkinetochore distance was 1.8 ± 0.47 μm (range, 1.1–2.8; Figure 6B and Table 1). Because the average interkinetochore rest length (i.e., the distance between kinetochores on a chromosome that is not interacting with microtubules) in newts is 1.3 μm (Waters et al., 1996), the centromeres in cells treated with taxol for 5–8 min are stretched (Table 1). After 30 min in taxol, we found that the spindles had stopped shortening. We measured the distance between kinetochores on bioriented chromosomes that had been treated with taxol for >30 min and found that the centromeres were only minimally stretched (average = 1.2 μm ± 0.1; range, 1.0–1.4 μm; n = 11 from 2 cells; Table 1).

**DISCUSSION**

**Kinetochore Microtubule Plus- and Minus-End Dynamics Are Differentially Sensitive to Taxol**

Our results reveal that 10 μM taxol preferentially inhibit kMT assembly/disassembly at their plus-ends without inhibiting the disassembly at their minus-ends in the spindle pole (Figure 7B). This has two observable consequences for the metaphase spindle. First, presumably because the characteristic oscillations of kinetochores depend on normal MT plus-end dynamics at the kinetochores (Ault et al., 1991; Skibbens et al., 1993), taxol rapidly arrests chromosome oscillations at all stages of mitosis (our observa-

---

*Figure 3.* Graph of measurements from the photoactivated taxol-treated metaphase cell shown in Figure 2. Taxol was added at 0:00. Squares plot distance between the spindle poles over time. Taxol induced the poles in this cell to move together at a rate of 0.63 μm/min (average = 0.89 μm/min). Diamonds plot distance between the spindle pole and the photoactivated mark over time. In this cell, the pole moves toward the mark at a rate of 0.29 μm/min (average = 0.43 μm/min). Circles plot the distance between the mark and a kinetochore, which remains constant over time.
Figure 4. Photoactivation marking of a control and a taxol-treated anaphase cell. Images on the left were taken from one control cell over time; images on the right were taken from one taxol-treated cell over time. Time is shown in min:sec elapsed (after 10 μM taxol addition for taxol-treated cell). 0:03, 6:09, 5:19, and 18:51 are phase contrast images showing chromosome distribution. 0:00 and 6:09 are overlays of rhodamine and fluorescein tubulin images within 5 sec of photoactivation. In control cells, kMTs disassembled rapidly at their plus-ends within the kinetochore and slowly at their minus-ends within the pole, resulting in chromosome-to-pole movement (i.e., anaphase A). Loss of tubulin subunits at the plus-end of the kMT caused the distance between the kinetochore and the photoactivated mark to continuously decrease (0:00, 2:16), eventually leading to complete loss of photoactivated subunits comprising the mark (5:16). Meanwhile, poles separated to elongate the spindle (i.e., anaphase B; 0:00–5:16). In taxol-treated cells, the distance between the kinetochore and the pole decreased at the same slow rate as the distance between the mark and the pole (see graph in Figure 5). This demonstrates that taxol inhibits the rapid kinetochore-mediated plus-end disassembly that occurs during anaphase, while slow depolymerization of kMTs at the minus-end continues (6:09–18:48). Taxol also inhibited anaphase B (6:09–18:48).
Squares plot distance between the spindle pole and a chromosome over time. In this cell, the K-fiber shortened at a rate of 0.27 μm/min. Circles plot the distance between the mark and the pole, which decreased at a rate of 0.24 μm/min.

Second, the kMTs shorten and the poles move toward the kinetochores that are positioned near the spindle equator (Figure 7, A and B). The average rate of kinetochore-to-pole movement (0.43 μm/min), which we show to be coupled to the disassembly of kMTs at their minus-ends within the pole, is similar to the rate at which MT subunits are normally lost at the pole because of flux (average = 0.58 μm/min). Importantly, the rate at which kMTs shorten after taxol addition decreases in anaphase cells (0.2 μm/min) to the rate at which flux occurs in control anaphase cells (0.18 μm/min; Mitchison and Salmon, 1992). From these data we conclude that kMT shortening in response to taxol is not the result of taxol actively inducing kMT minus-end disassembly. Rather, in the presence of taxol, the kMT minus-end disassembly that occurs in control cells caused by the flux mechanism persists (Figure 7, A and B), whereas plus-end dynamics at the kinetochore are inhibited.

In taxol-treated metaphase cells the poles move toward one another until the distance between them is reduced, on average, to 22–62% of the original interpolar distance. Why doesn’t minus-end disassembly of kMTs in the pole continue until the kMTs no longer exist? Recent work on the effects of taxol on MTs grown in vitro reveals that low concentrations of taxol selectively suppress the shortening of MT plus-ends but that high levels completely suppress the dynamic

Figure 5. Graph of measurements from the photoactivated taxol-treated anaphase cell shown in Figure 4. Taxol was added at 0:00. Squares plot distance between the spindle poles, which remains constant over time. Diamonds plot distance between the spindle pole and a chromosome over time. In this cell, the K-fiber shortened at a rate of 0.27 μm/min. Circles plot the distance between the mark and the pole, which decreased at a rate of 0.24 μm/min.

Figure 6. Analysis of interkinetochore distances for bioriented chromosomes in taxol-treated newt lung cells by indirect immunofluorescence. (A) Immunofluorescence micrograph obtained by using the 3F3/2 antibody shows the brightly labeled kinetochores and the weakly labeled surface of the chromatin. Each kinetochore (white arrows) in one sister kinetochore pair is labeled. Single dots (no arrows) are kinetochores whose sisters are in a different focal plane. Bar, 10 μm. (B) Histogram of interkinetochore distances for 38 taxol-treated bioriented chromosomes obtained from image stacks of four immunolabeled cells, plotted against frequency of occurrence. Dashed vertical line indicates interkinetochore rest length (1.1 μm) for comparison. Arrow indicates the average value (1.8 μm) for the data set.
behavior of both MT ends (Derry et al., 1995). Although the kinetics of taxol uptake by newt lung cells are unknown, it is likely that the intercellular concentration of this drug progressively increases during our 20–30 min treatment period. Thus, it is possible that the initial concentration of the drug in the cell is low and preferentially disrupts plus-end MT dynamics without affecting the normal behavior of MT minus-ends. Then, as the drug accumulates over time, activity at the MT minus-ends also becomes suppressed. This is supported by our finding that the centromeres in taxol-treated cells are no longer stretched once the spindle has stopped shortening (Table 1).

### Table 1. Interkinetochore distances

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Average (μm)</th>
<th>Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rest length*</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.9–1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control metaphase*</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.0–2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metaphase, 5–8 min after taxol addition</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.1–2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metaphase, 30 min after taxol addition</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.0–1.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Taken from Waters et al., 1996.

**Poleward Kinetochore Microtubule Flux Produces a Force that Can Move Centrosomes and Stretch Centromeres**

During taxol-induced spindle shortening, the spindle poles move toward the chromosomes at the same rate that the kMTs are disassembled at the poles by the flux mechanism (Figure 7, A and B). Taxol-induced spindle shortening only occurs in cells that contain bioriented chromosomes. This suggests that taxol-induced spindle shortening is dependent on kMT minus-end disassembly (i.e., the flux mechanism). We have also shown that centromeres are stretched during taxol-induced spindle shortening. After spindle shortening ceases, the centromeres are no longer stretched. Other forces that may be present and could cause taxol-induced spindle shortening, such as pulling forces generated by antiparallel MTs interacting in the spindle midzone or pushing forces generated by astral MTs, would not act on the kMTs and would not stretch the centromeres. Therefore, flux-mediated minus-end disassembly of kMTs is the dominant force that pulls the spindle poles together.

**Implications for the Role of Flux**

The role of kMT poleward flux in mitosis is unknown. The poleward movement of kMTs clearly contributes to poleward kinetochore motion during oscillations and anaphase A. In egg extracts (Sawin and Mitchison, 1991) and spermatocytes (Wilson et al., 1994), flux may account for the majority of anaphase A. However, during anaphase in somatic cells, the majority of chromosome-to-pole movement occurs concurrent with depolymerization of the kMTs at the kinetochore (63% in newt, Mitchison and Salmon, 1992; 84% in PtK, Zhai et al., 1995). In addition, flux slows significantly during anaphase in newt lung cells and slows abruptly at anaphase onset in PtK cells. It is possible that, in somatic cells, kMT poleward flux is a consequence of the dynamic organization of spindles and does not have an actual function in mitosis. However, our data show that during metaphase the poleward flux mechanism generates forces that produce work and stretch the centromeres of chromosomes as much as occurs in control cells when kinetochores are dynamic. Therefore, we believe that, in somatic cells, kMT poleward
Figure 7. (A and B) A diagram summarizing the effect of taxol on kMT ends. Black bars on microtubules represent photoactivated marks. (A) In control cells, subunit loss at the pole is balanced by net subunit addition at the kinetochore. (B) Taxol inhibits MT assembly/disassembly exchange at the kinetochore but does not inhibit subunit loss at the pole. This results in shorter kMTs and a decrease in the distance between spindle poles. (C and D) A model of the flux plays an important role primarily before anaphase onset.

Micromanipulation experiments have shown that tension across centromeric chromatin can stabilize kinetochore attachment to microtubules (Nicklas and Koch, 1969), change the direction of kinetochore motility (Nicklas, 1977; McNeill and Berns, 1981; Rieder et al., 1986; Hays and Salmon, 1990; Ault et al., 1991), and regulate cell-cycle progression (see also McIntosh, 1991; Gorbsky, 1995; Nicklas et al., 1995; Wells, 1996). In vivo, tension across the centromere has been proposed to be generated as poleward forces on the kinetochore (which are produced by poleward flux and kinetochore P motility) are resisted by sister kinetochore P motility toward opposite poles, as well as ejection forces acting to push the chromosome arms away from the pole. We have recently shown that, while newt sister kinetochores are oscillating between P and AP movement, they are, on average, under tension (Waters et al., 1996). We demonstrate here that kMT poleward flux alone produces enough force to stretch centromeres to the same extent they are stretched in control metaphase cells (Table 1). Therefore, it may be that the primary role of kMT poleward flux in newt lung cells is to ensure that oscillating kinetochores are under net tension.

The current model is that kinetochores switch to AP motion at high tension and to P motion at low tension (Skibbens et al., 1993, 1995; Cassimeris et al., 1994; Rieder and Salmon, 1995). According to this model, sister kinetochores generate tension across the centromere on their own. Why then should they need the help of flux? If tension plays a key role in the direction of kinetochore motility, kinetochore attachment, and a checkpoint control for anaphase onset, it is truly important to mitosis. Therefore, it is not unlikely that the cell has evolved redundant mechanisms for generating tension across the centromere. In addition, when kinetochores move AP, tension across the centromere is relieved, and sometimes the centromere becomes compressed (Skibbens et al., 1993; Waters et al., 1996). KMT poleward flux provides a constant poleward force that may be necessary in maintaining the tension at the kinetochore needed for stabilization of MT attachment and proper orientation of sister kinetochores to opposing spindle poles (Nicklas and Koch, 1969; Ault and Nicklas, 1989). These results raise an interesting question: what are the relative strengths of kinetochore-pulling forces and poleward kMT flux forces? Our results indicate that, at the very least, they...
are comparable in strength. However, flux could be much stronger. The idea that fast weaker kinetochore forces coexist with slow stronger fluxes is very important for the mechanism of prometaphase congression, anaphase segregation of chromosomes, and the spindle assembly checkpoint. For example, it could be that kinetochore motility itself is insufficient to overcome the checkpoint sensor of tension, and the stronger pulling by the flux machinery is required.

In control cells, the sister kinetochores on properly bioriented chromosomes are under net tension (Waters et al., 1996). This tension has been proposed to comprise part of the spindle assembly checkpoint for anaphase onset that regulates when a cell exits mitosis and enters interphase (reviewed in McIntosh, 1991; Gorbsky, 1995; Wells, 1996). Our results show that after 30 min in taxol kMT minus-end disassembly stops resulting in the loss of tension across the centromere. Therefore, taxol may arrest cells in metaphase (Jordan et al., 1993; Rieder et al., 1994) because it eventually inhibits the generation of tension between sister kinetochores.
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